S&P Global Ratings

Tear Sheet: Coca-Cola Icecek AS

October 10, 2023

Despite a decrease in volumes so far in 2023, Coca-Cola Icecek AS (CCI) continues to show resilience, which supports strong free cash flows. The reported volume decline of 2.8% yearon-year in the first half of 2023 follows a year-on-year increase in organic volumes of 8% in 2022 and soft demand in the two largest markets Turkiye (down 9% in the second quarter) and Pakistan (down 27.5%). Consumers in these countries are grappling with very high inflation and, in the case of Pakistan, the aftermath of the flooding in 2022. Despite that, we see signs of resilience as CCI also reported an increase in the share of immediate consumption (IC) packages to 26% in the second quarter, the third consecutive year of growth (from 18% in 2020). We attribute this increase to CCI's leading product portfolio. After price increases, profitability also seems to be rebounding. The twelve-month rolling S&P Global Ratings-adjusted EBITDA margin was 19.3% as of June 30, 2023, versus 18.2% as of March 31, 2023. The twelve-month rolling free operating cash flow (FOCF) was already close to Turkish lira (TRY) 2 billion as of June 30, 2023. CCI's balance sheet position remained strong, with S&P Global Ratings-adjusted debt to EBITDA at 1.2x (twelve months rolling to June 2023), including \$715 million of cash balances as of June 30, 2023.

The negative outlook on CCI solely mirrors that on its parent Anadolu Efes Biracilik ve Malt Sanayii Anonim Sirketi (AEFES; BB+/Negative/--). We equalize the rating on CCI with the rating on AEFES because we view CCI as a core entity of AEFES, meaning that the parent company would likely provide extraordinary financial support if needed, even if the two companies are legally separated. AEFES and its Russian joint venture (JV) partner and significant shareholder, Anheuser-Busch InBev S.A./N.V. (ABI; A-/Stable/A-2), remain in active discussions over the buyout of ABI's share in the JV. The uncertainty about the acquisition amount, the related funding mix, and the potential effect of the buyout on our financial projections for AEFES, which currently do not factor in the buyout, are the main reasons for our negative outlook.

Despite its strong balance sheet and conservative financial policy, CCI is acquisitive, meaning expansion in frontier markets could increase earnings and credit metrics volatility. In June, CCI announced that it had held preliminary talks with The Coca-Cola Co. (TCCC; A+/Stable/A-1) over the acquisition of Coca-Cola Bangladesh Beverages (CCBB). The acquisition could potentially add another very large and underpenetrated territory to CCI's portfolio and support long-term volume growth prospects. If it materializes, this would represent CCI's fourth acquisition in the past two years, as CCI also acquired TCCC's assets in Uzbekistan in late 2021. On a stand-alone basis, CCI's core credit metrics, including funds from operations (FFO) to debt of currently above 60% and S&P Global Ratings-adjusted debt to EBITDA of close to 1x, should remain solid. This should be the case even after factoring in high financing costs and the effect of the two acquisitions CCI announced--the JV stake buyout of TCCC in Pakistan and the

RatingsDirect®

Primary contact

Nikolay Popov Dublin 353-0-1-568-0607 nikolay.popov @spglobal.com

Secondary contact

Maxime F Puget London 44-7890-900-242 maxime.puget @spglobal.com

Coca-Cola Icecek AS

acquisition of the fruit juice and concentrate business of Anadolu Etap from AEFES. Given our negative outlook on CCI's parent, AEFES, and limited headroom for sizeable debt-funded transactions (notably due to high financing costs and currency exchange volatility), we will pay close attention to the acquisition costs, the funding mix, and the integration efforts required, should CCI proceed with the transaction.

Ratings Score Snapshot



Recent Research

• Turkey-Based Soft Drinks Producer Coca-Cola Icecek Ratings Lowered To 'BB+' Following Same Action On Parent Company, Sept. 23, 2022

Company Description

CCI is a soft drinks, juices, and water bottling company based in Turkiye. It was founded in 2005 when AEFES' soft drinks business merged with the Coca-Cola bottler in Turkiye. In 2022, the company reported revenue of TRY53.5 billion (about €1 billion, TRY21.9 billion in 2021) and S&P Global Ratings-adjusted EBITDA of TRY9.9 billion (about €445 million; TRY4.55 billion in 2021). CCI operates in 11 countries, with its top four markets being Turkiye (38% of volumes in 2022), Pakistan (27%), Kazakhstan (12%), and Uzbekistan (9%). Its products are mostly produced under license from TCCC, with sparkling beverages accounting for close to 80% of volumes.

CCI has 30 production plants with 146 productions lines, giving it a capacity to produce 1.9 billion units annually. Its market comprises about 430 million people. The company has about 896,000 sales points, with strong distribution channels and a wide market reach.

CCI is 50.3% owned by AEFES, a Turkiye-based bottler with large operations in Russia and central Asia. It is 20% owned by TCCC, the largest soft drink maker globally, based in the U.S. About 29% of share capital is listed on the Istanbul stock exchange. Turkish group Özgörkey Holding (not rated) owns 1% of share capital.

Outlook

The negative outlook mirrors that of the parent, AEFES. The negative outlook on AEFES reflects risks could negatively affect our projections and notably stem from the high inflation in Turkiye and the dependence of AEFES' beer segment, in our view, on Turkiye and Kazakhstan to fund operational and financing needs outside of Russia. In addition, there is still material uncertainty about the final terms and the timeline of the likely ABI transaction in Russia, which is currently not embedded in our base case. These factors could lead to a material deviation in our debt protection metrics over our forecast horizon.

Downside scenario

We would lower the rating most likely if we were to downgrade AEFES. We could lower our rating on AEFES over the next 12-18 months if our main credit indicators, excluding the Russian beer operations, weakened such that adjusted debt to EBITDA exceeded 2.5x and FFO to debt decreased below 30%, with no prospects for rapid improvement.

Alternatively, we could also lower our rating on AEFES if we lowered the sovereign foreign currency rating on Turkey to 'B-' from 'B'. This is because, under our criteria, we cannot rate a company more than four notches above the related sovereign foreign currency rating.

Upside scenario

We could revise our outlook to stable if we revised our outlook on the ratings on the parent to stable. We could revise our outlook on the ratings on AEFES to stable if we had greater visibility that the group, excluding the Russian beer operations, would be able to maintain adjusted debt to EBITDA comfortably below 2.5x and FFO to debt above 30% on an ongoing basis. This should be combined with sustained adequate liquidity levels outside Russia such that cash flows fully cover the beer segment's operational and financing needs.

Key Metrics

Coca-Cola Icecek AS--Forecast summary

| 2019a 12,008 2,220 | 2020a 14,391 | 2021a 21,930 | 2022a | 2023f | 2024f |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| , | 14,391 | 21,930 | 50 500 | | |
| 2,220 | | 21,000 | 53,530 | 93,000-98,000 | 115,000-125,000 |
| | 3,072 | 4,547 | 9,852 | 18,500-19,000 | 23,500-24,500 |
| 1,647 | 2,391 | 3,263 | 6,803 | 11,500-12,500 | 15,500-16,500 |
| 766 | 666 | 1,306 | 3,309 | 6,500-7,500 | 9,000-10,000 |
| 1,113 | 2,046 | 2,284 | 2,476 | 2,500-2,700 | 3,000-3,500 |
| 3,198 | 2,434 | 6,181 | 7,995 | 14,500-15,500 | 18,000-19,000 |
| | | | | | |
| 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.7-0.9 | 0.7-0.9 |
| 51.5 | 98.2 | 52.8 | 85.1 | 78-81 | 80-85 |
| 6.9 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 5.8 | 5.0-5.2 | 5.0-5.5 |
| 34.8 | 84.1 | 36.9 | 31.0 | 16-18 | 25-30 |
| 13.0 | 19.8 | 52.4 | 144.1 | 73-83 | 20-30 |
| 18.5 | 21.3 | 20.7 | 18.4 | 19.5-20.0 | 20.0-20.5 |
| | 766 1,113 3,198 1.4 51.5 6.9 34.8 13.0 | 766 666 1,113 2,046 3,198 2,434 1.4 0.8 51.5 98.2 6.9 8.0 34.8 84.1 13.0 19.8 | 766 666 1,306 1,113 2,046 2,284 3,198 2,434 6,181 1.4 0.8 1.4 51.5 98.2 52.8 6.9 8.0 7.9 34.8 84.1 36.9 13.0 19.8 52.4 | 766 666 1,306 3,309 1,113 2,046 2,284 2,476 3,198 2,434 6,181 7,995 1.4 0.8 1.4 0.8 51.5 98.2 52.8 85.1 6.9 8.0 7.9 5.8 34.8 84.1 36.9 31.0 13.0 19.8 52.4 144.1 | 766 666 1,306 3,309 6,500-7,500 1,113 2,046 2,284 2,476 2,500-2,700 3,198 2,434 6,181 7,995 14,500-15,500 1.4 0.8 1.4 0.8 0.7-0.9 51.5 98.2 52.8 85.1 78-81 6.9 8.0 7.9 5.8 5.0-5.2 34.8 84.1 36.9 31.0 16-18 13.0 19.8 52.4 144.1 73-83 |

All figures are adjusted by S&P Global Ratings, unless stated as reported. a--Actual. e--Estimate. f--Forecast. TRY--Turkish Lira.

Financial Summary

Coca-Cola Icecek AS--Financial Summary

| Period ending | Dec-31-2018 | Dec-31-2019 | Dec-31-2020 | Dec-31-2021 | Dec-31-2022 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Reporting period | 2018a | 2019a | 2020a | 2021a | 2022a |
| Display currency (mil.) | TRY | TRY | TRY | TRY | TRY |
| Revenues | 10,623 | 12,008 | 14,391 | 21,930 | 53,530 |
| EBITDA | 1,920 | 2,220 | 3,072 | 4,547 | 9,852 |
| Funds from operations (FFO) | 1,443 | 1,647 | 2,391 | 3,263 | 6,803 |
| Interest expense | 394 | 322 | 386 | 576 | 1,708 |
| Cash interest paid | 389 | 299 | 343 | 524 | 1,360 |
| Operating cash flow (OCF) | 1,636 | 1,879 | 2,712 | 3,590 | 5,784 |
| Capital expenditure | 858 | 766 | 666 | 1,306 | 3,309 |
| Free operating cash flow (FOCF) | 779 | 1,113 | 2,046 | 2,284 | 2,476 |
| Discretionary cash flow (DCF) | 548 | 813 | 1,774 | 1,489 | 1,847 |
| Cash and short-term investments | 2,311 | 2,933 | 4,684 | 4,215 | 14,637 |
| Gross available cash | 2,311 | 2,933 | 4,684 | 4,215 | 14,637 |
| Debt | 3,322 | 3,198 | 2,434 | 6,181 | 7,995 |
| Common equity | 6,424 | 7,369 | 8,737 | 14,970 | 22,796 |
| Adjusted ratios | | | | | |
| EBITDA margin (%) | 18.1 | 18.5 | 21.3 | 20.7 | 18.4 |
| Return on capital (%) | 16.9 | 16.5 | 21.2 | 22.3 | 32.0 |
| EBITDA interest coverage (x) | 4.9 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 5.8 |
| FFO cash interest coverage (x) | 4.7 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 6.0 |
| Debt/EBITDA (x) | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| FFO/debt (%) | 43.4 | 51.5 | 98.2 | 52.8 | 85.1 |
| OCF/debt (%) | 49.3 | 58.8 | 111.4 | 58.1 | 72.3 |
| FOCF/debt (%) | 23.4 | 34.8 | 84.1 | 36.9 | 31.0 |
| DCF/debt (%) | 16.5 | 25.4 | 72.9 | 24.1 | 23.1 |

Environmental, Social, And Governance

ESG factors have a neutral influence on our credit analysis of CCI. We believe social risk factors are mitigated by the lower regulatory pressure and more positive consumer perception toward high-sugar content beverages in its end geographies, compared with mature markets. In addition, limited consumer affordability restricts the level of individual consumption. The company's prudent treasury and debt leverage policies, with at least 50% of cash balances held at all times in hard currencies, support our governance assessment.

Rating Component Scores

| Foreign currency issuer credit rating | BB+/Negative/ | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Local currency issuer credit rating | BB+/Negative/ | | | |
| Business risk | Fair | | | |
| Country risk | High | | | |
| Industry risk | Low | | | |
| Competitive position | Fair | | | |
| Financial risk | Modest | | | |
| Cash flow/leverage | Modest | | | |
| Anchor | bbb- | | | |
| Diversification/portfolio effect | Neutral (no impact) | | | |
| Capital structure | Neutral (no impact) | | | |
| Financial policy | Neutral (no impact) | | | |
| Liquidity | Adequate (no impact) | | | |
| Management and governance | Satisfactory (no impact) | | | |
| Comparable rating analysis | Neutral (no impact) | | | |
| Stand-alone credit profile | bbb- | | | |

Related Criteria

- General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings, Oct. 10, 2021
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- Criteria | Corporates | General: Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments, April 1, 2019
- Criteria | Corporates | General: Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Dec. 16, 2014
- General Criteria: Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria | Corporates | General: Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Industry Risk, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities, Nov. 13, 2012
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011

Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.